Objective: To determine the prevalence of second-hand smoke (SHS) exposure among infants and young children who received preventive care at pediatric preventative care clinics associated with an urban public hospital. Cotinine, a metabolite of nicotine, has been used to study SHS exposure in population-based studies of children 3 years of age or older.

Design: Retrospective study using a convenience sample.

Setting: Urban county pediatric primary care clinics in San Francisco, California.

Participants: A total of 496 infants and children (mean [SD] age, 2.4 [1.9] years).

Interventions: Discarded plasma samples (which were routinely collected for lead screening) were tested, and medical records were reviewed, for SHS exposure.

Main Outcome Measure: Secondhand smoke exposure based on cotinine plasma level and history of exposure in the medical record.

Results: Thirteen percent of parents reported that their child was exposed to SHS, yet biochemical testing detected cotinine in 55% of samples, at a geometric mean (SD) of 0.23 (3.55) ng/mL. There were no significant sex or age differences. African American children had much higher mean cotinine levels than did Latino children (geometric mean difference, 6.07 ng/mL [95% CI, 4.37 to 8.43 ng/mL]).

Conclusion: In a city with a low smoking rate (12%) and public smoking bans, we documented 55% exposure among infants and young children, using a plasma biomarker, compared with 13% exposure reported by parents. Because SHS is associated with significant respiratory diseases and parents underreport exposure, routine biochemical screening should be considered as a tool to identify and reduce SHS exposure.


Tobacco smoke exposure poses a significant health hazard for children, representing an enormous burden of morbidity and mortality. Parental reporting of their children’s tobacco smoke exposure may be inaccurate for many reasons (eg, exposures of which they are unaware). Public health measures, such as smoking bans, have reduced secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure among children, but biochemical testing provides objective documentation of tobacco smoke exposure, which alerts health care providers and parents that exposure has occurred and may help them institute measures to limit or prevent their child’s further exposure, especially among nonsmoking families. The most sensitive way to assess exposure is by measurement of biomarkers of tobacco smoke exposure. The most widely used biomarker is cotinine, the major proximate metabolite of nicotine. Cotinine has a relatively long half-life (16 hours) and is a quantitative biomarker of nicotine exposure. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) has measured plasma cotinine levels in representative samples of the US population over many years. Studies using NHANES data have reported that up to 83% of children and adolescents aged 3 to 18 years had detectable cotinine levels in their plasma. We are unaware of any systematic studies that included children younger than 3 years of age.

Smoking prevalence remains high in economically disadvantaged populations. In the context of a 12% overall smok-
ing prevalence in the city of San Francisco, we recently found that, based on serum cotinine levels, 55% of adults admitted to the San Francisco General Hospital (SFGH) in California were active smokers or heavily exposed to SHS.9 To the best of our knowledge, no such data are available in a general population of children served by urban public pediatric clinics.

Racial differences in the rate of nicotine metabolism are well established in adults.10,11 The hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP2A6 metabolizes 80% or more of nicotine to cotinine and also metabolizes cotinine to its major metabolite, trans 3'-hydroxycotinine (3HC).12 The ratio of 3HC to cotinine, known as the nicotine metabolite ratio (NMR), is a biomarker for the rate of nicotine clearance.13 A low NMR indicates lower cotinine clearance, which may result in higher cotinine levels due to lower clearance and not just higher SHS exposure. We used the NMR to compare the rates of nicotine metabolism in infants and children of different races, which has not previously been done.

The primary aim of our study was to determine the prevalence of SHS exposure among infants and young children who received primary care at SFGH Medical Center, based on the biomarker of plasma cotinine, using a convenience blood sample. Our other aims were to determine the sensitivity and specificity of parental reporting of SHS history as determined by plasma cotinine level, and to compare the rates of nicotine metabolism estimated using the NMR among children of different race/ethnicity groups.

METHODS

STUDY PROCEDURES

This is a study of all infants and children who received pediatric care at SFGH and who had an available leftover blood sample collected for lead screening during a 3-month interval (from November 3, 2009, to March 31, 2010). We retrieved SFGH clinical laboratory samples that originated from the SFGH pediatric clinic. Blood samples for lead screening are obtained as part of standard primary, preventative, and well-child pediatric care. At SFGH, pediatric blood samples to determine lead levels are routinely obtained from children between 9 and 12 months of age and then yearly until 5 years of age. Two children had more than 1 lead sample, and, for this study, we used the first sample to determine their cotinine levels.

San Francisco General Hospital, the county hospital that serves the economically disadvantaged population of San Francisco, has pediatric clinics that serve approximately 10,000 unduplicated infants and children younger than 18 years of age every year; approximately 77% of pediatric patients attend at least 1 primary care clinic per year. The demographics of the population using the SFGH pediatric clinics are as follows: 59% Latino, 18% African American, 13% Asian, 5% white, and 5% other. The age distribution of this population is as follows: 12% of infants and children from birth to 12 months, 9% from 12 to 23 months, 9% from 24 to 35 months, 19% from 36 to 71 months, and 51% from 6 to 17 years. Virtually all of the children were publicly insured.

Using the name and medical record number on the plasma sample for lead screening, we retrospectively retrieved the subjects’ race/ethnicity, sex, age, insurance status, and lead concentrations from the hospital electronic database. We also retrospectively reviewed all the Child Health and Disabilities Prevention (CHDP) program billing forms stored in the medical records. The CHDP program is the California version of a federal Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment program that provides funding for primary care services. A CHDP form is filled out at the time of every preventative care visit (usual visit schedule: 1 and 2 weeks; 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months; and yearly or biyearly until 18 years). The CHDP billing form includes the question about whether the patient was exposed to passive (secondhand) tobacco smoke and must be answered for reimbursement. The child’s SHS exposure status is obtained from the parent or caregiver. The CHDP forms were analyzed across the patient’s entire history at SFGH. A history of SHS exposure was defined by at least 1 “yes” answer, whereas no history of SHS exposure required all CHDP forms to be marked “no.”

This study did not require informed consent and was approved by the Committee on Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco. Our study used surplus blood samples that were to be discarded; there was no direct patient contact; and after the review of the medical records was completed and data were linked with the sample’s research number, all patient identifiers were deleted from the database and research charts.

SUBSTUDY OF WHEEZING AND ASTHMA

We identified 70 children from our study population with probable wheezing or possible asthma based on electronic prescriptions for asthma-specific medications (eg, β-agonists and/or inhaled steroids). We also identified a control group of 74 children who were matched by date of blood sample collection, race/ethnicity, age, and sex. Individuals were excluded from the control group if they had prescriptions for antibiotics that indicated a respiratory, ear, or sinus infection within 3 months before their blood sample for lead screening was obtained. Group assignment was solely based on electronic prescription data.

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY

Samples were analyzed for cotinine and 3HC by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry.14 The lower limit of quantitation (LOQ) for this assay depends on the volume of plasma available. The volumes available in our study ranged from 0.1 to 1.0 mL, with the LOQ ranging from 0.2 to 0.02 ng/mL, respectively. Overall, for 75% of samples, the LOQ was 0.05 ng/mL or less. The percentage of samples with cotinine levels greater than the LOQ was similar across the volumes of plasma analyzed: LOQ=0.05 ng/mL, with 55% of samples with detectable cotinine; LOQ=0.07 ng/mL, 54%; LOQ=0.1 ng/mL, 59%; LOQ=0.2 ng/mL, 68%.

DATA ANALYSIS AND STATISTICS

We used bivariate analyses to test whether cotinine positivity (χ² test) and absolute measured levels (t test) were different across covariates. We used multivariate analyses to test whether race/ethnicity was associated with cotinine levels (linear regression) and cotinine positivity (logistic regression), controlling for sex and age. We used 2 definitions for cotinine positivity: (1) a cotinine level greater than or equal to the LOQ (detectable cotinine) vs a cotinine level less than the LOQ and (2) a cotinine level greater than or equal to 0.05 ng/mL vs a cotinine level less than 0.05 ng/mL. The value of 0.05 ng/mL was selected for comparability to other studies that have used this as a cutoff for SHS exposure in children.6,15 All tests were 2-tailed and conducted using R software (http://www.r-project.org/).
The demographic characteristics of the 496 infants and children whose data were included in the analysis are shown in Table 1. The subjects’ ages ranged from 8 months to 17 years, with a mean (SD) age of 2.4 (1.9) years. Table 1 includes the numbers and percentages of those with detectable cotinine levels and those with cotinine levels greater than or equal to 0.05 ng/mL, a difference of 22 infants and children between the 2 categorizations. There was no significant difference in the percentage of infants and children who had detectable cotinine levels by age, insurance coverage, or blood lead levels. There was no difference in mean cotinine levels in those with detectable blood lead levels vs those with blood lead levels below the level of detection (geometric mean difference, 1.21 ng/mL [95% CI, 0.76-1.95 ng/mL]), nor was there a significant correlation between lead levels and cotinine levels among those who had both detectable lead and cotinine levels (Pearson \( r = -0.10 \) [95% CI, -0.42 to 0.24]). Female children were significantly more likely to have detectable cotinine levels than were male children (Table 1), but sex was not a significant predictor of cotinine level in multivariable linear regression models.

There was a significant difference in the number of subjects with detectable cotinine levels by history of SHS exposure (Table 1). Cotinine levels were significantly higher among those with a history of SHS exposure compared with those without (geometric mean difference, 2.68 ng/mL [95% CI, 1.68-4.28 ng/mL]; data not shown). The sensitivity of parental reporting of SHS exposure for identifying subjects with detectable plasma cotinine levels was 19.6%, whereas the specificity was 91%. Among participants with detectable cotinine levels and no history of SHS exposure, the mean number of CHDP forms per child was 7 (range, 1-16).

**Table 1. Characteristics of 496 Infants and Children**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Measurable Cotinine in Blood Sample</th>
<th>Cotinine Not Detectable in Blood Sample</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Measurable Cotinine Level ( \geq 0.05 ) ng/mL</th>
<th>Cotinine Level ( &lt;0.05 ) ng/mL</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>274 (100)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>252 (100)</td>
<td>244 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>131 (47.8)</td>
<td>127 (57.2)</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>122 (48.4)</td>
<td>136 (55.7)</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>143 (52.2)</td>
<td>95 (42.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>130 (51.6)</td>
<td>108 (44.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>41 (15.0)</td>
<td>46 (20.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 (15.1)</td>
<td>49 (20.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to &lt;2</td>
<td>92 (33.6)</td>
<td>64 (28.8)</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>86 (34.1)</td>
<td>70 (28.7)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to &lt;3</td>
<td>59 (21.5)</td>
<td>47 (21.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 (21.0)</td>
<td>53 (21.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to &lt;4</td>
<td>34 (12.4)</td>
<td>37 (16.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>32 (12.7)</td>
<td>39 (16.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to &lt;5</td>
<td>32 (11.7)</td>
<td>22 (9.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 (12.3)</td>
<td>23 (9.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to &lt;18</td>
<td>16 (5.8)</td>
<td>6 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (4.8)</td>
<td>10 (4.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>164 (59.9)</td>
<td>197 (88.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>148 (58.7)</td>
<td>213 (87.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>65 (23.7)</td>
<td>5 (2.3)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>64 (25.4)</td>
<td>6 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>24 (8.8)</td>
<td>12 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 (8.3)</td>
<td>15 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>15 (5.5)</td>
<td>6 (2.7)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>14 (5.6)</td>
<td>7 (2.9)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6 (2.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (2.0)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal managed care</td>
<td>227 (82.8)</td>
<td>190 (85.6)</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>208 (82.5)</td>
<td>209 (85.7)</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly insured</td>
<td>47 (17.2)</td>
<td>30 (13.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>44 (17.5)</td>
<td>33 (13.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of SHS exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>197 (71.9)</td>
<td>181 (81.5)</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>181 (71.8)</td>
<td>197 (80.7)</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 (17.5)</td>
<td>18 (8.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>44 (17.5)</td>
<td>22 (9.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>29 (10.6)</td>
<td>23 (10.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 (10.7)</td>
<td>25 (10.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &lt;2 \mu g/dL )</td>
<td>239 (87.2)</td>
<td>187 (84.2)</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>220 (87.3)</td>
<td>206 (84.4)</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 2 \mu g/dL )</td>
<td>35 (12.8)</td>
<td>35 (15.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>32 (12.7)</td>
<td>38 (15.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SHS, secondhand smoke.
SI conversion factor: To convert lead to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.0483.
\( ^a \)Calculated from \( \chi^2 \) tests comparing subject who tested positive for cotinine with those who tested negative, using the described cutoff measures.

**RESULTS**

The demographic characteristics of the 496 infants and children whose data were included in the analysis are shown in Table 1. The subjects’ ages ranged from 8 months to 17 years, with a mean (SD) age of 2.4 (1.9) years. Table 1 includes the numbers and percentages of those with detectable cotinine levels and those with cotinine levels greater than or equal to 0.05 ng/mL, a difference of 22 infants and children between the 2 categorizations. There was no significant difference in the percentage of infants and children who had detectable cotinine levels by age, insurance coverage, or blood lead levels. There was no difference in mean cotinine levels in those with detectable blood lead levels vs those with blood lead levels below the level of detection (geometric mean difference, 1.21 ng/mL [95% CI, 0.76-1.95 ng/mL]), nor was there a significant correlation between lead levels and cotinine levels among those who had both detectable lead and cotinine levels (Pearson \( r = -0.10 \) [95% CI, -0.42 to 0.24]). Female children were significantly more likely to have detectable cotinine levels than were male children (Table 1), but sex was not a significant predictor of cotinine level in multivariable linear regression models.

**HISTORY OF SHS EXPOSURE**

There was a significant difference in the number of subjects with detectable cotinine levels by history of SHS exposure (Table 1). Cotinine levels were significantly higher among those with a history of SHS exposure compared with those without (geometric mean difference, 2.68 ng/mL [95% CI, 1.68-4.28 ng/mL]; data not shown). The sensitivity of parental reporting of SHS exposure for identifying subjects with detectable plasma cotinine levels was 19.6%, whereas the specificity was 91%. Among participants with detectable cotinine levels and no history of SHS exposure, the mean number of CHDP forms per child was 7 (range, 1-16).

**RACE/ETHNICITY**

Figures 1 and 2 display data for those children with detectable cotinine levels. Table 2 gives the plasma cotinine levels by race/ethnicity. As seen in Figure 1, 61% had cotinine levels of less than 0.25 ng/mL, whereas 14% had cotinine levels of 1 ng/mL or greater. Figure 2 displays box plots of cotinine levels by race/ethnicity, showing that African Americans had much higher levels compared with Latinos, Asians, whites, and other races. Nearly
93% of African Americans had detectable cotinine levels compared with 45% of Latinos (Table 1), and the mean cotinine level among African American children was also significantly higher than those of other races/ethnicities (Table 2). Latinos had the lowest mean cotinine level compared with other races/ethnicities, but the difference was only significant in comparison with African Americans, whose mean level was 6 times that of Latinos after controlling for age and sex (Table 2). Relative to Latinos, the adjusted odds ratio for detectable cotinine levels in African Americans was 16.0 (95% CI, 6.3-40.7), whereas it was 2.4 (95% CI, 1.16-4.98) for Asians and 2.9 (95% CI, 1.08-7.61) for whites.

### SUBSTUDY OF WHEEZING AND ASTHMA

Among the 70 individuals on medications for wheezing/asthma and the 74 matched controls, there were no significant differences in the number of subjects who tested positive for cotinine, in cotinine levels between the 2 groups, or in self-reported SHS exposure (data not shown).

### NICOTINE METABOLITE RATIO

Table 3 displays the mean differences for the NMR by race/ethnicity. Because blood levels of 3HC are typically much lower than those of cotinine, as cotinine levels approach their LOQ, 3HC levels will more likely be below their LOQ. Only 32% of participants with a detectable cotinine level had a detectable 3HC level in their plasma. African Americans had the highest mean cotinine level (Table 2) and the largest proportion of subjects with detectable 3HC levels (72%; Table 3), whereas Latinos had the lowest mean cotinine level and the lowest proportion of subjects with detectable 3HC levels (15%). Compared with Latinos, children from all other racial/ethnic groups had a lower mean NMR, but only African Americans had a significantly lower mean NMR after adjusting for age and sex (mean difference, −0.39 [95% CI, −0.05 to −0.73]).

### COMMENT

We present novel data on the prevalence of tobacco smoke exposure among infants and children receiving preventative care at pediatric clinics within a major urban public hospital. Approximately 70% of study participants were younger than 3 years, an age group that, to our knowledge, has not previously been studied with cotinine-validated SHS exposure. More than 55% of children were exposed to tobacco smoke, even though smoking rates are low in San Francisco (1.12%) (http://www.chis.ucla.edu/main/DQ3/output.asp?_rn=0.1304285), and public smoking bans include parks, playgrounds, and the SFGH campus. The prevalence and magnitude of exposure were higher among African American children than infants and children of every other racial/ethnic group. Parental reporting of SHS exposure predicts a higher level of exposure compared with no history of SHS exposure, but the parents of most children who had cotinine-validated SHS exposure repeatedly denied exposure. Finally, we found that the rate of nicotine metabolism in children, estimated using the NMR, is slower, on average, among African Americans than among Latinos or whites, which is consistent with previous observations in adults.10,12
The lack of concordance between parental reporting of SHS exposure and cotinine levels may be partially due to parental misreporting combined with a limited history of possible SHS exposure obtained by the medical provider. It is also likely that both parents and providers have a limited understanding of the various sources of SHS exposure. Some parents may consider that SHS exposure only occurs if the child is present when there is active smoking, or they discount or are unaware of SHS exposure that occurs outside the home. For example, elevated air cotinine levels have been documented in nonsmoking residences in multiunit apartment buildings where tobacco smoke odor is present, and higher cotinine levels have been found among children living in multiunit housing compared with children living detached dwellings.

The 2007 US Surgeon General’s report, Children and Secondhand Smoke Exposure, found that SHS exposure from maternal and/or parental smoking is causally related to sudden infant death syndrome, impaired lung function, respiratory illnesses, middle ear disease, and asthma in their infants and/or children, and that no level of SHS exposure is safe. Recent studies indicate a link between pediatric mental disorders and SHS exposure.

The goal of pediatric SHS control is to prevent the morbidity and mortality due to SHS exposure. Besides public health measures, such as public smoking bans, prevention of exposure requires educating all parents about the hazards of SHS exposure so that they can identify the sources of SHS exposure, with the goal of eliminating these sources, before morbidity develops in their children. The most sensitive way to assess exposure is by measurement of biomarkers of tobacco smoke exposure. Parents who do not smoke cannot prevent exposure if they are unaware of it. Families with an active smoker can still mitigate exposure by never allowing smoking in the home or automobile.

In our study, 55% of children had detectable levels of cotinine in their plasma. If universal childhood cotinine testing were mandated, it would provide an unequivocal signal to providers that the child is exposed to a hazardous environment and that intervention is necessary. Data indicate that parents, both nonsmokers and smokers, support testing of their children for SHS exposure.

In conclusion, we present novel data on biochemical measured SHS exposure in children from infancy to 18 years old in an urban public hospital pediatric clinic.

Table 3. Mean Difference in 3HC, Cotinine, and NMR for Subjects With Detectable 3HC and Cotininea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>No. of Subjects</th>
<th>3HC Level (95% CI), ng/mL</th>
<th>Cotinine Level (95% CI), ng/mL</th>
<th>3HC/Cotinine NMR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.71 (1.17-6.27)</td>
<td>4.94 (2.30-10.61)</td>
<td>−0.39 (−0.05 to −0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.53 (0.12-2.25)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.17-2.40)</td>
<td>−0.20 (−0.78 to 0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.38 (0.32-5.89)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.48-6.68)</td>
<td>−0.29 (−0.87 to 0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.64 (0.14-19.78)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.17-16.32)</td>
<td>−0.22 (−1.22 to 0.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NMR, nicotine metabolite ratio; 3HC, 3′-hydroxycotinine.
aMean difference calculated using Latinos as the reference group, adjusted for age and sex.
We found particularly high levels of exposure among African American children. Parental reports of SHS exposure substantially underestimate the biochemically verified smoke exposure. Underreporting might be intentional, parents might not recognize sources of SHS exposure, or medical providers do not properly assess SHS exposure. Although the discrepancy between parental reporting and biochemical assessment highlights the need for better screening questions in the primary care setting, we believe that a biochemical assessment is necessary to fully assess exposure. Our data indicate that biochemical assessment of SHS would result in considerably enhanced detection of exposure and ultimately could result in more effective interventions to prevent SHS-related diseases in children. Research on the use of routine cotinine screening in primary care to reduce childhood exposure is warranted.
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